

AO 120 (Rev. 08/10)

TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK
---	---

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been
 filed in the U.S. District Court District of Oregon on the following

☐ Trademarks or ☒ Patents. (☐ the patent action involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.):

DOCKET NO. 6:12-cv-0065-AA	DATE FILED 1/12/2012	U.S. DISTRICT COURT District of Oregon
PLAINTIFF VIDEX, INC.		DEFENDANT MICRO ENHANCED TECHNOLOGY, INC., TRITEQ LOCK AND SECURITY, L.L.C.
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 6,900,720		See attached Complaint
2 7,373,352		
3 7,821,345		
4 5,617,082		
5 6,359,547		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
1 7,456,725			
2 7,482,907			
3 7,683,758			
4 7,791,952			
5			

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT "Plaintiff has not shown the existence of purposeful minimum contacts sufficient to subject defendant to personal jurisdiction in this forum. Accordingly, defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction (doc. 13) is GRANTED. This action is DISMISSED."

CLERK Mary Moran	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK /s/ L. Graham	DATE 5/24/2012
---------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director
 Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

DAVID P. COOPER, OSB No. 880367

Email: cooper@khpatent.com

OWEN W. DUKELOW, OSB No. 965318

Email: owen@khpatent.com

CARLA TODENHAGEN, OSB No. 065283

Email: carla@khpatent.com

KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.

520 S.W. Yamhill Street, Suite 200

Portland, Oregon 97204

Telephone: (503) 224-6655

FILED 12 JAN '12 14:42 USC-ORP

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
EUGENE DIVISION**

VIDEX, INC.,
an Oregon corporation

Plaintiff,

v.

MICRO ENHANCED TECHNOLOGY
INC., an Illinois corporation and
TRITEQ LOCK AND SECURITY, L.L.C.
an Illinois limited liability corporation.

Defendants.

Case No. **CV '12 - 65 - AA**

**COMPLAINT FOR
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Videx, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Videx") alleges, based upon actual knowledge with respect to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's acts, and based upon information and belief with respect to all other matters, against Defendants Micro Enhanced Technology Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "MET") and TriTeq Lock and Security, L.L.C. (hereinafter referred to as "TriTeq") as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. This is a civil action seeking a declaratory judgment that Plaintiff Videx's access-control products ("the Accused Products"), do not infringe various intellectual property rights of Defendants MET and TriTeq. Specifically, Videx seeks a declaratory judgment (a) that the accused Products do not infringe U.S. Patent No. 6,900,720 (the "'720 patent"), (b) that the '720 patent is invalid and/or unenforceable, (c) that the accused Products do not infringe U.S. Patent No. 7,373,352 (the "'352 patent"), (d) that the '352 patent is invalid and/or unenforceable, (e) that the accused Products do not infringe U.S. Patent No. 7,821,395 (the "'395 patent"), and (f) that the '395 patent is invalid and/or unenforceable,

THE PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Videx is, and at all times relevant to this action was, an Oregon corporation with a principal place of business and mailing address at 1105 N.E. Circle Blvd., Corvallis, Oregon 97330.

3. Defendant MET is, and at all times relevant to this action was, an Illinois corporation with a principal place of business and mailing address at 701 Gullo Ave., Suite B, Elk Grove, IL 60007.

4. Defendant TriTeq is, and at all times relevant to this action was, an Illinois limited liability company with a principal place of business and mailing address at 701 Gullo Ave., Elk Grove, IL 60007.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This is a declaratory judgment action brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

6. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this case involves federal questions arising under the patent and trademark laws of the United States. 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*; 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.*

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over MET and TriTeq.

8. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) because MET and TriTeq are subject to personal jurisdiction in Oregon, and thus are deemed to reside in Oregon for purposes of venue.

BACKGROUND FACTS

9. Since August 12, 2011, defendant MET has been litigating against Videx for patent-infringement in Illinois.

10. The '720 patent issued on May 31, 2005. MET is apparently the owner of all rights in the '720 patent. The '720 patent claims an electronic key, which is shown and described in the '720 patent. A copy of the '720 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

11. The '352 patent issued on May 13, 2008. TriTeq is apparently the owner of all rights in the '352 patent. The '352 patent claims a key management system, which is shown and described in the '352 patent. A copy of the '352 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.